

HOOL OF MEDICINE

Case Western Reserve

Molecular characteristics of early-onset versus average-onset gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma

Amit Mahipal¹, Emily A. Teslow², Yingying Yu², Binyam Yilma², Stamatina Fragkogianni², Melissa C. Stoppler², Zhaohui Jin³ ¹Department of Oncology, University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, ²Tempus Al Inc., Chicago, IL, ³Department of Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN

Background

- Incidence of early-onset gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma (eoGEA, ages <50 years) has been increasing in the United States since the 1990s
- The etiology of which is still unclear, and limited data exists on molecular drivers.
- This study evaluates somatic and germline profiles in eoGEA compared to average-onset GEA (aoGEA, ages≥ 50 years)

Methods

Tempus xT assay

Inclusion criteria:

- Patients with esophageal, gastroesophageal junction, and gastric adenocarcinoma of all stages
- Tested between 12/2017 and 07/2024

Retrospective review of deidentified patient data for

- Biomarkers
- Somatic and germline alterations

*Tempus xT assay - A targeted panel that detects single nucleotide variants, insertions and/or deletions, and copy number variants in 598-648 genes, as well as chromosomal rearrangements in 22 genes with high sensitivity and specificity

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Amrita A. Iyer from the Scientific communications team at Tempus for poster review

Conclusion

1% of eoCRC, and in <1% aoCRC, suggesting predominant somatic and/or epigenetic origin.

Results

Characte

Age at Pr Diagno **Media Q**3 Min, Sex Ma Fem Rac Wh Blac African Ar Other Asi Unkn Ethnic Not Hisp Latir Hispai Latir Unkn Disease Unkn Tumor Stom Esopha Card struct ¹ n (%)

test

Table 1. Conort Demographics							
orictio	eoGE	aoGE	n volue?				
ensuc	N = 785 ¹	N = 5,078 ¹	p-value-				
rimary			<0.001				
osis							
n (Q1,	43 (38, 47)	68 (61, 74)					
Max	17, 50	50, 89					
			<0.001				
le	509 (65%)	3,798 (75%)					
ale	276 (35%)	1,280 (25%)					
e			<0.001				
ite	280 (69%)	2,347 (80%)					
k or	49 (12%)	246 (8.3%)					
nerican	(
Race	57 (14%)	230 (7.8%)					
an	21 (5.2%)	129 (4.4%)					
own	378	2,126					
city			<0.001				
banic or	206 (60%)	1,768 (86%)					
nic or							
10	135 (40%)	294 (14%)					
own	444	3,016					
stage			0.073				
,	495 (85%)	2,833 (81%)					
	62 (11%)	442 (12%)					
	17 (2.9%)	179 (5.1%)					
	6(1.0%)	37 (1.0%)					
own	205	1,537					
site			<0.001				
ach	462 (59%)	1,830 (36%)					
ageal	171 (22%)	1,982 (39%)					
lua ure	152 (19%)	1,266 (25%)					

² Wilcoxon rank sum test; Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact



PD-L1/MSI-H/TMB-H overlap in eoGEA



Figure 1. Immunological biomarkers and percentage of patients with TMB-H, MSIH/dMMR, and PD-L1 high. TMB-H was defined at greater than 10 mut/Mb. The rates of three markers were assessed for any overlap among aoGE and eoGE.

eoGEA has a unique somatic and germline mutation profile compared to aoGEA. Germline mutations were identified in only

PD-L1/MSI-H/TMB-H overlap in aoGEA



Top 10 somatic mutations ordered by q-value



Figure 2. Top 10 somatic mutations by frequency (top) and q-value (bottom)

Genes	eoGE N = 785 ¹	aoGE N = 5,078 ¹	p-value ²	q-value ³
CDH1	126 (16%)	334 (6.6%)	<0.001	<0.001
TP53	515 (66%)	3,764 (74%)	<0.001	<0.001
CDKN2A	101 (13%)	1,011 (20%)	<0.001	<0.001
KRAS	92 (12%)	931 (18%)	<0.001	<0.001
NOTCH1	12 (1.5%)	228 (4.5%)	<0.001	0.002
FGFR2	37 (4.7%)	121 (2.4%)	<0.001	0.003
MSH3	10 (1.3%)	194 (3.8%)	<0.001	0.004
BCORL1	3 (0.4%)	109 (2.1%)	<0.001	0.010
BAP1	23 (2.9%)	69 (1.4%)	<0.001	0.012
SMAD4	55 (7.0%)	538 (11%)	0.002	0.020

Genes	eoGE N = 464 ¹	aoGE N = 2,822 ¹	p-value ²	q-value ³
CDH1	10 (2.2%)	8 (0.3%)	<0.001	0.001
TP53	3 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0.003	0.039
BRCA2	2 (0.4%)	38 (1.3%)	0.10	0.6
BRIP1	4 (0.9%)	10 (0.4%)	0.12	0.6
SDHD	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0.14	0.6
VHL	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0.14	0.6
ATM	9 (1.9%)	32 (1.1%)	0.15	0.6
APC	2 (0.4%)	4 (0.1%)	0.2	0.7
CHEK2	4 (0.9%)	17 (0.6%)	0.5	>0.9
MSH2	1 (0.2%)	4 (0.1%)	0.5	>0.9



Table 2. Top 10 somatic mutations in eoGEA &

 aoGEA

Table 3. Top 10 germline mutations in eoGEA and aoGEA